

Tuesday - May 23, 1995 - 5:00 p.m.

Regular Meeting

Present: Mayor Russell Martin, Presiding; Vice-Mayor Chris Peterson; Councilwoman Barbara Field, Councilman Gary McClure, Councilwoman Leni Sitnick, Councilman Joseph Carr Swicegood and Councilman Herbert J. Watts; City Attorney William F. Slawter; City Manager James L. Westbrook Jr.; and City Clerk Magdalen Burleson

Absent: None

INVOCATION

Mayor Martin welcoming Councilman Watts back after his knee surgeries. He then gave the invocation.

I. PROCLAMATIONS:

A. RESOLUTION NO. 95-73 - RESOLUTION TO RETIRING EMPLOYEE PAT COOK

City Manager Westbrook read the resolution stating that Pat Cook has been an employee for 19-1/2 years and has requested retirement from her position as Police Officer Senior in the Asheville Police Department. He expressed City Council's appreciation to Pat for her service to the City of Asheville and its citizens.

Councilman Watts, a former Sergeant with the Police Department, stated that Pat is a very dedicated and outstanding officer.

Resolution No. 95-73 was adopted by acclamation.

RESOLUTION BOOK NO. 22 - PAGE 271

B. PROCLAMATION PROCLAIMING THE WEEK OF MAY 21-27, 1995, AS "ARSON AWARENESS WEEK"

Mayor Martin proclaimed the week of May 21-27, 1995, as "Arson Awareness Week" in the City of Asheville and presented it Fire Chief John Rukavina, Mr. Harley Shuford, Fire Investigator and Director of the Asheville-Buncombe Arson Task Force, and Mr. Buddy Thompson, Buncombe County Fire Investigator.

C. PROCLAMATION PROCLAIMING SATURDAY, MAY 27, 1995, AS "YOUTH UNITY DAY"

Mayor Martin proclaimed Saturday, May 27, 1995, as "Youth Unity Day" in the City of Asheville and presented it to Ms. Oralene Simmons. Ms. Simmons briefed the Council on the activities taking place during the week.

II. PUBLIC HEARINGS:

A. CONTINUATION OF PUBLIC HEARING TO REZONE 65, 73, 77, 83 AND ONE VACANT LOT ON MONTFORD AVENUE FROM R-4 RESIDENTIAL TO CG COMMERCIAL GENERAL

Mayor Martin said that this public hearing was opened on December 6, 1994, continued until March 21, 1995, and then continued until this date in order to give staff sufficient time to work with the community to address the Head of Montford Redevelopment Plan in general.

Mayor Martin announced that a petition has been received in the City Clerk's office on May 23, 1995, containing 173 signatures, which states "We, the undersigned, are opposed to the recommendations of the Head of Montford Steering Committee, as voted on April 6, 1995. Specifically, we oppose any more commercial zoning than what presently exists at the head of Montford. We also oppose the proposed Office Institutional (OI) zoning along Hill Street. Such zoning would be very destructive to the character of the Montford community and would adversely affect the quality of life in the neighborhood."

Ms. Julia Cogburn, Planning Director, said that the Steering Committee appointed by Council has finished the majority of its work. However, some work still remains to be done regarding the recommended zoning for this area and Council has asked that the Committee look once more at this issue. A Steering Committee meeting has been scheduled for June 5.

Three community meetings and two Steering Committee meetings have been held concerning the plan. It is now proposed that a recommendation on the rezoning and an amendment to the plan will be ready for Council at their July 25th meeting.

Planning staff recommends that Council continue the public hearing until July 25, 1995, at 5:00 p.m.

Mr. Albert Sneed, attorney representing Ms. Shirley Dozier, urged Council to vote on this matter today and not to continue the matter any longer. The highest and best use of the property is not R-3 Residential District. He said that Ms. Dozier has agreed to amend her request to put in a one foot strip of R-3 along Short Street.

Upon inquiry of Councilman Swicegood, Mr. Gerald Green, Senior Planner and resident of 150 Cumberland Avenue in Montford, said that he has not been involved in this rezoning request. He has gone to the community meetings and as City staff has taken notes and has done some presentations; however, Mike Matteson, Urban Planner, is the staff person working on this rezoning request.

Councilwoman Sitnick said that one of the reasons for sending this back was to look at two distinct issues. One is multi-faceted and that's the whole Head of Montford Redevelopment Plan. The other was the Dozier's request. She said that this Council feels strongly about the fact that Asheville is still small enough that we feel whether you live in East Asheville, Central Asheville or North Asheville - this is all one neighborhood. And, while someone might live in one place, have a business in another area or you might have a child in another area - we are cross-connected and inter-connected and we are concerned about the whole City. She also said that in response to the comment about should we listen to people who live ten blocks away, she felt we should - for the very reason she just stated. It's her understanding that there are three or four individuals who don't even live in the City. The idea is to have all of the voices as part of the dialog. That was the whole point of setting up the committee initially. She personally feels committed to making sure that everybody's voice is heard and that everybody feels that they are valid before this Council.

Ms. Myra Fuller, representative of the Montford Community Club and resident at 162 Cumberland Avenue, read a prepared statement urging Council to recognize the invaluable contribution that this historic urban neighborhood makes to the City and take action to preserve and protect it's future. She said, among other things, that the Montford

community is dedicated to preserving itself as a residential community and to maintaining its diversity of residents which makes it a unique and viable community. Permitting commercial uses at the Head of Montford that are not low impact and neighborhood oriented would set a precedent for commercial intrusion down Montford Avenue. The Montford community is resolved to remain a strong residential neighborhood that it will fight incompatible commercial development.

Upon inquiry of Vice-Mayor Peterson, Ms. Fuller stated that they are not totally opposed to commercial development, only incompatible commercial development.

Councilwoman Sitnick asked if City staff knew what the combined property values and tax base contribution is from each individual residential unit in the City. There is value in residential property as there is in commercial property. The City is always looking to increase it's tax base and one way is not to devalue personal properties. She said that this needs to be looked at with balance - historic value, neighborhood intrusion, the further ghettoizing of Montford, and the millions of dollars of CDBG money that the City put in Montford for the very purpose of preserving our housing stock. This is all important to improve and maintain our economy as well - it's not just making every major thoroughfare a commercial thoroughfare.

Ms. Valerie Larrea, resident at 46 Cumberland Circle, asked Council to weigh the issues of what makes easy money vs. what preserves neighborhoods and heritage and what ultimately makes people come to visit and make Asheville their home.

Ms. Shirley Dozier, petitioner requesting the rezoning, explained why she wanted to have her property rezoned commercial. the Head of Montford Redevelopment Plan shows that this property should have some kind of commercial activity in it and since this plan had input from the neighborhood at that time, the neighborhood should not object to the commercial zoning.

Vice-Mayor Peterson stated that he has received a letter from Ms. Dozier expressing concerns over the make-up of the Steering Committee.

Mr. Michael McDonough, member of the Steering Committee, stated that they are a lot of people that are not opposed to all commercial development, they are just opposed to the Commercial General designation and opposed to the way it's being done. Perhaps if a different zoning classification, like Neighborhood Commercial was being requested, the petitioner might get a different response from the community. He felt Council should approach this rezoning request in a more comprehensive manner.

Councilman Swicegood moved to continue this public hearing, without further advertisement, until July 25, 1995, at 5:00 p.m. This motion was seconded by Vice-Mayor Peterson.

Vice-Mayor Peterson felt that if some of the Steering Committee members had their own personal agendas, the Committee would not be able to function as the City Council intended and thus nothing would be accomplished.

The motion made earlier to continue this public hearing until July 25, 1995, carried unanimously.

-4-

Mr. Bill Coolidge, resident in the Montford area, hoped that the Steering Committee would meet with members of the Montford community at least once

before they finalize their recommendations.

At the request of Vice-Mayor Peterson, City Manager Westbrook was instructed to have a staff report prepared for the next Council worksession which shall include, but not be limited to, what issues the Steering Committee voted on, what the counts were on each vote, who voted for what (not necessarily by name), what the vote count was for the final meeting, a list of the Committee members and if they live in that neighborhood, and if not, what organization they represent, etc.

Vice-Mayor Peterson also urged the Steering Committee meet with the neighborhood to bring them up-to-date.

Upon inquiry of Councilman Watts, Ms. Dozier said that she was not comfortable with the make-up of the Steering Committee.

Councilwoman Sitnick said that in order to avoid this problem in the future, she has asked the City Manager to draft some procedural guidelines relative to the way the Council not only appoints people to committees, but also how the resignation process should occur. Some points to be addressed would be (1) are we going to appoint only residents to ad hoc and citizen advisory committees who live in the areas that are under question?; (2) are we going to appoint people who don't live in the City (as we did when we appointed four members to the UDO Steering Committee)?; (3) how the appointments are made; (4) who should they appoint; (5) should members of boards and committees also serve on citizen committees; and (6) a formal resignation process when a citizen is removed by either Council or requests to be removed on their own. If we have a policy that this Council agrees to, we can avoid at least 30% of the discussion that has occurred over this particular committee. This will be a very positive step for the City.

Councilman Swicegood agreed that a policy needed to be developed that spells out exactly how committee members are taken off committees.

B. PUBLIC HEARING RELATIVE AMENDING THE ZONING ORDINANCE TO ALLOW TAXI STANDS IN THE COMMERCIAL HIGHWAY DISTRICT (CH) AND THE CENTRAL BUSINESS DISTRICT (CBD)

ORDINANCE NO. 2215 - AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE

ZONING ORDINANCE TO ALLOW TAXI STANDS IN THE COMMERCIAL HIGHWAY DISTRICT AND THE CENTRAL BUSINESS DISTRICT

Mayor Martin opened the public hearing at 6:09 p.m.

City Clerk Burleson presented the notice to the public setting the time and date of the public hearing.

Senior Planner Gerald Green explained that the proposed zoning ordinance amendment identifying taxi stands as a permitted use in the CBD and CH Districts and defining taxi stands was recommended for approval by the Planning & Zoning Commission ("Commission") at their May 3, 1995, meeting. The proposed use was considered to be compatible with the purpose of these two districts and with the other uses permitted in them. Taxi stands are currently permitted in the Commercial Service District and the Commission felt that permitting this use in the CH and CBD Districts would be an appropriate revision to permit more

-5-
flexibility in the City's ordinance. The Commission felt the addition of a definition of taxi stands to the zoning ordinance would reduce confusion determining what is a taxi stand.

When Councilwoman Sitnick asked how many parking spaces those taxi stands will displace, especially because parking is limited in the Central Business District, Mr. Green responded that the spaces would be private property owned by the taxi company. Unless they reach some lease agreement with the City for City-owned parking spaces, they would not be allowed to take a space off the street and use those spaces for taxi stands. This is only intended to address private property which is owned by the taxi company and used as a place for their employees to park while they wait for a call for service.

Upon inquiry of Mayor Martin, Mr. Green doesn't foresee any great deal of interest in doing this.

Mayor Martin closed the public hearing at 6:15 p.m.

Mayor Martin said that members of Council have previously received a copy of the ordinance and it would not be read.

Councilman Swicegood moved for the adoption of Ordinance No. 2215. This motion was seconded by Councilwoman Field.

On a roll call vote of 7-0, Ordinance No. 2215 passed on its first and final reading.

ORDINANCE BOOK NO. 15 - PAGE 91

III. UNFINISHED BUSINESS:

IV. NEW BUSINESS:

A. ORDINANCE NO. 2216 - AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 3 (ANIMALS) OF THE CODE OF ORDINANCES OF THE CITY OF ASHEVILLE

Police Chief Will Annarino said that on October 11, 1994, the Buncombe County Board of Commissioners adopted and enacted a comprehensive animal control ordinance. The comprehensive ordinance provides, among other things, for the termination of an owner's rights to his/her animal under certain circumstances, mandatory confinement of dogs or cats that bite human beings, euthanasia of an animal under certain circumstances, specific requirements that animal owners provide humane care for their animals and authorization to impose certain additional protective and preventive measures against animal owners so as to minimize the risk such animals may pose to the public.

Members of City Council expressed an interest in the City amending its animal control ordinance so as to be identical or comparable to Buncombe County's ordinance. While this ordinance is not identical, it is comparable. The comparability and significant amendments are as follows:

1. The owner is provided with specific guidelines regarding the confinement and care of their animals and a failure to abide by those standards may result in the animal control officer seizing the animal or the animal being euthanized.
2. An appeal appeals board consisting entirely of City staff.

-6-

3. Addition of a civil penalty for the owner's failure to install protective and preventive measures for confinement of dogs when requested to do so by the animal control officer.

4. Clearly defined duties and responsibilities for animal control officers,

including a requirement that they canvas the City to ascertain that dogs and cats are currently inoculated for rabies; investigation of reported animal bites by rabid animals and issuance of permits to keep more than six animals per lot.

Certain significant items included in Buncombe County's ordinance that are not in the City's draft ordinance are as follows:

1. An escalating civil penalty for violation of the County's ordinance ranging from \$50 to \$500 depending upon whether it is a first offense, second offense or third offense, etc.
2. A policy of the County that provides for, among other things, the issuance of a criminal summons if civil penalties are not paid.
3. A provision that makes it unlawful to fail to have a dog or cat vaccinated for rabies.
4. Immediate destruction of wounded or diseased animals that are suffering.
5. No additional staff or operational costs are anticipated.

Councilwoman Sitnick was pleased to see that the ordinance is being strengthened and suggested Chief Annarino report back to Council at a later date to see if the amendments have helped his enforcement capabilities. Police Chief Annarino felt comfortable that this amendment would help, especially in the civil area.

Mayor Martin said that members of Council have been previously furnished with a copy of the ordinance and it would not be read.

Councilman Watts moved for the adoption of Ordinance No. 2216. This motion was seconded by Councilman McClure.

On a roll call vote of 7-0, Ordinance No. 2216 passed on its first and final reading.

ORDINANCE BOOK NO. 15 - PAGE 94

Councilman Swicegood asked the City Attorney for a report about the penalties the City can impose relative to violations of the noise ordinance.

B. ORDINANCE NO. 2217 - AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE PENALTY PROVISIONS OF CHAPTER 15 OF THE CODE OF ORDINANCES RELATED TO SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT

City Attorney Slawter said that the City's solid waste ordinance, which includes provides for enforcement of littering, currently provides for enforcement only by prosecution as a misdemeanor for any violation. Pursuant to a long-standing agreement with the County, this City ordinance is enforced within the City by an environmental control -7-

officer who is paid by the County. The person currently in that position is Rick Ramsey. Mr. Ramsey feels that the best means of enforcement of the ordinance would be through a method of civil penalties, with a misdemeanor warrant to then be taken out if the civil penalties do not get the problem resolved. This action would amend the penalty provisions of Chapter 15 of the Code of Ordinances related to solid waste management. Also, this ordinance will allow the Public Works Director to designate persons in the Public Works Department, who have had proper training, to enforce this ordinance along with the environmental control officer.

Councilwoman Field said that she supported this amendment and commented that as a member on the County's Solid Waste Committee she has found out that in the City of Asheville the majority of littering problems are vacant lots that are trashed, whereas, in the County, the majority of the problems are illegal dumping.

Mr. Julian Price, resident in the downtown area, suggested that trash in the downtown area be collected on Monday mornings and emptied on Fridays too.

Ms. Laura Gordon expressed her concern over the Sanitation Division workers being allowed to issue citations from a safety issue.

Mayor Martin said that members of Council have been previously furnished with a copy of the ordinance and it would not be read.

Councilwoman Field moved for the adoption of Ordinance No. 2217. This motion was seconded by Councilman McClure.

On a roll call vote of 7-0, Ordinance No. 2217 passed on its first and final reading.

ORDINANCE BOOK NO. 15 - PAGE 111

Councilman Swicegood moved to instruct the City Manager to use some of the youth hired under the City's Summer Youth Program to pick up litter. He said that keeping Asheville clean was a high priority at the Council's retreat in January and felt it would be good to use some of the summer youth for this project. This motion was seconded by Vice-Mayor Peterson and carried unanimously.

Councilwoman Sitnick said that picking up trash is the end product of a problem. She felt that in conjunction with this, we should try and abate the problem from occurring altogether. She said the City had a program about six years ago called "People Who Litter Are Trash". She feels like some program, in conjunction with the Adopt a Street Program which she has been trying to get started, needs to have an education process that stops people from littering. While she thinks that Councilman Swicegood's idea to use summer youth to pick up litter, is a good one, the Council needs to think about (1) the City's liability where the kids are concerned; (2) kids in the streets and whether they are endangered; and (3) kids picking up not only paper trash, but needles, condoms, etc. She also said that there is a policy in the Police Department regarding kids who are truant and/or suspended from school. They are taken home and dropped off. She would like to see those young people striving for this kind of community service program as well. If it's not possible to use the City's summer youth, perhaps those young people can help us with this problem. This is a multi-faceted issue and we need to look at all of these things. We should also call the N.C. Department of Transportation and ask them to start cleaning up the State roads. -8-

Mayor Martin said that he introduced the program entitled "People Who Litter Are Trash" in 1988 when he was on City Council. He was able to get the City and the County to come up with \$10,000 each to fund it. It was done in conjunction through Quality Forward who still has an education program.

V. CONSENT:

A. APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING HELD ON MAY 9, 1995, AND THE WORKSESSION HELD ON MAY 16, 1995

B. MOTION SETTING A PUBLIC HEARING ON JUNE 27, 1995, RELATIVE TO ADOPTION OF

THE 1995-96 ANNUAL OPERATING BUDGET

C. RESOLUTION NO. 95-74- RESOLUTION OF INTENT TO CLOSE A PORTION OF WATER STREET AND CALLING FOR A PUBLIC HEARING ON JUNE 27, 1995

RESOLUTION BOOK NO. 22 - PAGE 272

D. RESOLUTION NO. 95-75 - RESOLUTION OF INTENT TO CLOSE AN UNOPENED ALLEY OFF ELIZABETH STREET AND CALLING FOR A PUBLIC HEARING ON JUNE 27, 1995

RESOLUTION BOOK NO. 22 - PAGE 273

E. RESOLUTION NO. 95-76 - RESOLUTION OF INTENT TO CLOSE A PORTION OF AN ALLEY BETWEEN EUCLID BOULEVARD AND RIVERSIDE DRIVE AND CALLING FOR A PUBLIC HEARING ON JUNE 27, 1995

RESOLUTION BOOK NO. 22 - PAGE 274

F. RESOLUTION NO. 95-77 - RESOLUTION OF INTENT TO CLOSE AN UNOPENED ALLEY OFF ANNIE STREET, A PORTION OF WESTWOOD PLACE BETWEEN HAZEL MILL ROAD AND ITS DEAD-END, AND A PORTION OF TOXAWAY EXTENSION BETWEEN HAZEL MILL ROAD AND TOXAWAY STREET AND CALLING FOR A PUBLIC HEARING ON JUNE 27, 1995

RESOLUTION BOOK NO. 22 - PAGE 275

G. RESOLUTION NO. 95-78 - RESOLUTION OF INTENT TO CLOSE A PORTION OF DELL STREET, AN UNNAMED ALLEY OFF OF DELL STREET, A PORTION OF DAVENPORT ROAD, A PORTION OF VERMONT CIRCLE, AND A PORTION OF RUSSELL AVENUE AND CALLING FOR A PUBLIC HEARING ON JUNE 27, 1995

RESOLUTION BOOK NO. 22 - PAGE 276

H. MOTION SETTING A PUBLIC HEARING ON JUNE 27, 1995, TO REZONE 2 HERMAN STREET, 149 LIVINGSTON STREET AND 133 LIVINGSTON STREET FROM R-3 RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT TO R-4 RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT

I. RESOLUTION NO. 95-79 - RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR OF THE CITY OF ASHEVILLE TO ENTER INTO A JOINT COOPERATION AGREEMENT WITH THE ASHEVILLE REGIONAL HOUSING CONSORTIUM

Summary: HUD regulations require execution of a new Cooperation Agreement for the Asheville Regional Housing Consortium to continue receiving formula allocations under the HOME grant program. The City of Asheville serves as Lead Entity for the Consortium.

-9-

RESOLUTION BOOK NO. 22 - PAGE 278

J. RESOLUTION NO. 95-80 - RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE CITY MANAGER TO ENTER INTO AN AGREEMENT WITH THE NORTH CAROLINA WILDLIFE RESOURCES COMMISSION TO BEGIN DESIGN AND DEVELOPMENT OF A BOAT LAUNCH AND FISHING ACCESS ALONG AMBOY ROAD AND ANY OTHER AGREEMENTS FOR CONSTRUCTION

Summary: The Parks and Recreation Department is seeking authorization to proceed with the planning and design process for the Amboy Road River Park, part of the French Broad River Greenway. This would include obtaining a grant and entering into a lease agreement with the N.C. Wildlife Resources Commission to start the design and development of the park through the services of a consultant.

RESOLUTION BOOK NO. 22 - PAGE 279

K. RESOLUTION NO. 95-81 - RESOLUTION AMENDING THE POLICY RELATING TO CLAIMS AND JUDGMENTS SOUGHT OR ENTERED AGAINST CITY OFFICERS AND EMPLOYEES

Summary: On November 29, 1979, the City Council adopted Resolution No. 79-253 establishing a policy relating to claims and judgments sought or against City officers and employees. The policy provides for defense of claims made against employees who are acting in the course of their employment and the payment of such claims unless the employee is the cause of the claim by some wrongful act and set forth in the resolution. That policy currently provides for full payment of any claim or judgment against the employee.

This policy has recently been reviewed in connection with the City's current program of self-insurance. It is recommended that the policy be amended so as to limit the amount that would be paid on behalf of any employee to the amount that any claimant could realistically expect to obtain from the employee himself.

RESOLUTION BOOK NO. 22 - PAGE 280

L. RESOLUTION NO. 95-82 - RESOLUTION APPOINTING A MEMBER TO THE ASHEVILLE TRANSIT AUTHORITY

Summary: This resolution will appoint Ms. Althea Goode to serve the unexpired term of Myra Grant, as a member on the Asheville Transit Authority. Ms. Goode's term will expire on December 31, 1997, or until her successor has been appointed and qualified.

RESOLUTION BOOK NO. 22 - PAGE 282

Councilwoman Field moved for the adoption of the Consent Agenda. This motion was seconded by Councilwoman Sitnick and carried unanimously.

VI. OTHER BUSINESS:

A. REAPPOINTMENT OF PEGGY COOK TO THE HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF ASHEVILLE

Mayor Martin announced his reappointment of Ms. Peggy Cook to the Housing Authority of the City of Asheville. Ms. Cook's term is for five years, term to expire June 11, 2000, or until her successor has been appointed and qualified.

-10-

B. LETTER FROM LANDMARK MANAGEMENT RE: PACK PLAZA

Councilman McClure read a letter from L. Ted Prosser, President of Landmark Management Inc., dated May 18, 1995, addressed to all Pack Plaza Tenants. The letter reads:

"A number of you have made inquiries of me prompted by an article which appeared in the Asheville Citizen Times with respect to the prospective foreclosure by Bank of Scotland of its first mortgage on Pack Plaza. Without commenting on a line-by-line basis on the 'facts' set forth in the article, I would like to bring the following to your attention as tenants of Pack Plaza:

- A subsidiary of the Bank of Scotland acquired Pack Plaza in July 1994.
- The necessity for the foreclosure action was the refusal by the City of

Asheville to release voluntarily its lien on Pack Plaza notwithstanding the fact that its lien is worthless, given the value of Pack Plaza as evidenced by the City's own books and records. In other words, if the City had agreed to release its lien, there would have been no foreclosure and no additional legal or other costs incurred.

- No tenant will be terminated on account of the foreclosure action.
- Since a subsidiary of the Bank of Scotland already owns Pack Plaza, a foreclosure sale to Bank of Scotland will not result in any changes relating to your tenancy or the day-to-day operations of Pack Plaza.

The foreclosure action will thus be a non-event for you. If you require any additional information with respect to the foregoing, please do not hesitate to call me at 252-5130."

Upon inquiry by Councilman McClure about the City's liens, City Attorney Slawter said all three City deeds of trust are valid liens against the project.

C. RALPH BISHOP

Mr. Ralph Bishop, resident of the City of Asheville, addressed Council about his inability to obtain City records, in particular certified City Council minutes. He felt State law entitled him to those minutes and City Council had no authority to supersede that law by adopting its own rules.

D. CLAIMS

City Manager Westbrook said that the following claims were received by the City of Asheville during the week of May 4-10, 1995: Dave C. Tomlin (Street), Robert L. Huntley (Water), Marilyn Muccio (Parks & Recreation), Sharon Crisp (Civic Center).

He said the following claims have been received by the City during the week of May 11-17, 1995: John Patterson (Civic Center), Juanita Wilkerson (Parks & Recreation), Toby C. Cole (Water) and Bell South Tele. (Streets).

He said that these claims would be referred to the appropriate insurers for investigation.

VII. ADJOURNMENT:

Mayor Martin adjourned the meeting at 6:45 p.m.

CITY CLERK MAYOR
